UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,361	04/16/2004	Ji Hyun Hwang	MRE-0045.1 8094	
	34610 7590 05/15/2007 KED & ASSOCIATES, LLP		EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 221200			WAGGONER, TIMOTHY R	
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/825,361	HWANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Timothy R. Waggoner	3651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after-SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03/07	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03/07/2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-17 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 18-31 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	: :				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	🗂					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/07/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the combination of Hawkswell/Briehl/Umetsu does not anticipate a drive mechanism comprising a plurality of magnets and coils.and the ability to move forwards and backwards and that Hawkswell teaches away from it. On the first point that the drive mechanism doesn't comprise a plurality of magnets and coils, it is inherent in an electrical motor that a plurality of magnets and coils are needed to construct such a motor. As for the means Hawkswell teaches to prevent the unintentional reversing of the feed, the motors of Briehl and Umetsu both include means to prevent the unintentional reverse feed of the strip while allowing for adjustment if necessary. For these foregoing reason claims 15-17 and 32 stand rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-17 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawkswell USPN 4,687,152 in view of Briehl 5,941,674 or Umetsu et al. USPN 5,289,625.

Hawkswell discloses a parts feeding device comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/825,361 Page 3

Art Unit: 3651

(Re claim 15) "a feeding unit installed on a main frame, the feeding unit comprising" (52 figure 2). "a tape at a predetermined pitch interval" (38 figure 2). "a vinyl separation unit" (68 figure 2). "a vinyl recovery unit" (70 figure 2).

Hawkswell does not disclose that the feeding unit is capable of moving forward and backwards driven by a force generating device comprising a plurality of armature coils and a magnet unit.

Briehl and Umetsu both teach a feeding unit capable of moving forward and backwards driven by a force generating device comprising a plurality of armature coils and a magnet unit.

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Hawkswell to make the feeding unit capable of moving forward and backwards and driven by a force generating device comprising a plurality of armature coils and a magnet unit because it uses fewer moving parts making the device simpler and more robust.

(Re claim 16) "vinyl separation unit utilizes rotational force generated by the feeding unit" (48 figure 2, Hawkswell).

(Re claim 17) "vinyl recover unit is connected to the vinyl separation unit by a belt and recovers the vinyl" (76 figure 2, Hawkswell).

(Re claim 32) "a surface mounting device" (23 figure 1, Hawkswell).

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/825,361

Art Unit: 3651

Claims 18-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy R. Waggoner whose telephone number is (571) 272-8204. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8am-2pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,361

Art Unit: 3651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TRW

Page 5